arXiv:1111.0598v2 [math-ph] 22 Jan 2012

Exact solutions for the 2d one component plasma

T. D. Andersen

(Dated: Received: date / Accepted: date)

Abstract

The 2d one component gas of pointlike charges in a uniform neutralizing background interacting with a logarithmic potential is a common model for plasmas. In its classical equilibrium statistics at fixed temperature (canonical ensemble) it is formally related to certain types of random matrices with Gaussian distribution and complex eigenvalues. In this paper, I present an exact integration of this ensemble for N such particles (or alternatively $N \times N$ matrices) for all complex temperatures, a significant open problem in statistical physics for several decades. I achieve this exact integration via an exact integration of a related ensemble, the two-dimensional Selberg integral.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Jj, 47.32.cb, 52.25.Xz, 52.35.Ra

Keywords: microcanonical ensemble, two dimensional one component plasma

Non-trivial exact solutions to statistical ensembles with finite degrees of freedom are rare. The complexity of these ensembles normally requires perturbation or mean field approaches or Monte Carlo simulations to extract meaningful results. Examples of fixed temperature ($T = 1/\beta$) ensembles with exact solutions are the two-dimensional two-component Coulomb gas [20] and the one-dimensional one-component Coulomb gas with harmonic confinment potential [7][6] [16]. The two-dimensional one-component Coulomb gas has been solved exactly for $\beta = 2$ [8] and up to $N = 4$ [13] with speculation that it may be exactly solvable for even integer temperatures [21] but no definite result. Pair correlations have also been studied numerically at $\beta = 4$ [22] and compactness has been studied in [15]. The N-vortex problem, which is mathematically related given conservation of angular momentum [3], is also considered in [18].

The two-dimensional, one-component plasma (OCP) model can be thought of as system of N point charges, vortex filaments or lines of charge in the complex plane interacting with a logarithmic potential. Each charge has the same sign and value, scaled to be 1, and a neutralizing background with charge N. An axisymmetric harmonic potential confines the charges towards the origin, giving the energy functional,

$$W = \sum_{i=1} |z_i|^2 - \sum_{i<j} \log |z_i - z_j|, \tag{1}$$

where z_i is the position of charge i in the complex plane. The statistical mechanics of these charges are given by the probability density,

$$p(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = (Z_N(\beta))^{-1} e^{-\beta W}, \tag{2}$$

where the normalizing constant,

$$Z_N(\beta) = \int \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{z}}_1 \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{z}}_1^* \cdots \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{z}}_N \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{z}}_N^* \, e^{-\beta W}, \tag{3}$$

is the partition function [2]. This particular statistical ensemble was first proposed in 1965 and solved at the time for one special case of $\beta = 2$ [8] and solutions for other β have remained open problems.

Formally, this statistical ensemble corresponds to the distribution of eigenvalues of several classes of complex random matrices where the elements have Gaussian distributions [16][8]. Random matrices have important applications to the quantum theory of atomic nuclei. Energy levels are the eigenvalues of Hermitian or unitary random matrices with large order

N. Because the matrices are Hermitian, the eigenvalues are restricted to the real line. In other applications such as vortex line pinning in superconductors [10][11][12] and growth models in population biology [17], however, random matrices are often non-Hermitian and may have complex eigenvalues. Feinberg and Zee have made a study of non-Hermitian random matrices [4][5] related to the vortex pinning problem. Other applications of non-Hermitian random matrices are QCD at finite chemical potential[19] and quantum chaos [14][1]. For a review of random matrices in quantum physics see [9].

In this paper, I give the exact, closed form expression for Z_N for all even integer β,

$$Z_N = (\pi)^N \beta^{-2N-\frac{\beta N(N-1)}{2}} F_N(\beta), , \tag{4}$$

where for $\beta = 2\lambda$ we have,

$$F_N(2\lambda) = \left(\prod_{1\le k<l\le N} \sum_{p_{kl}=0}^{\lambda} \right) \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+p_{ij})\Gamma(1+q_{ij})} c_{p,N} c_{q,N} \right\}, \tag{5}$$

where $p_{kl} + q_{kl} = \lambda$ and $\left(\prod_{1\le k<l\le N} \sum_{p_{kl}=0}^{\lambda} \right)$ indicates iterated sums rather than a product of sums and we have the following recurrence relation:

$$c_{r,n} = (n-j) c_{r,n-j-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(2(r_{nk} + \sum_{l=1}^{j} r_{kl}) + \lceil \sum_{a<b\le k} 2r_{ab}/k \rceil)}{\Gamma(\lceil \sum_{a<b\le k+1} 2r_{ab}/(k+1) \rceil)}, \tag{6}$$

if $r_{n1}, \ldots, r_{nj} = 0$ and $r_{kl} = 0$ for all $k = 2, \ldots, j+1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, j$, and $j = 0$ if $r_{n1} \neq 0$. The $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ means the smallest integer greater than $\cdot$. This recurrence I will not write out in full formula because of its complexity and because this form is most directly related to computing it. It is a closed form computation polynomial in N. Note that $c_{r,n} = 1$ for $n \le 1$.

I begin by looking at a related integral coined the two-dimensional Selberg integral (not to be confused with the *complex* Selberg):

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda) = \int_{[0,1]^{2N}} & \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N} \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{x}}_j \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{y}}_j \right. \\ & \left. x_j^{\lambda_1} y_j^{\lambda_3} (1-x_j)^{\lambda_2} (1-y_j)^{\lambda_4} \right] \times \\ & \prod_{1\le k<l\le N} \left[(x_k - x_l)^2 + (y_k - y_l)^2 \right]^{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By the change of variables $x_j \to (1 - x_j/L)/2$ and $y_j \to (1 - y_j/L)/2$, we have the partition function from the 2D Selberg integral,

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_N(2\lambda) = (2\lambda)^{-2N-N(N-1)\lambda} \times \\ &\lim_{L\to\infty} 4^{2L^2}(2L)^{2N+N(N-1)\lambda} S_N\left(\frac{L^2}{2}, \frac{L^2}{2}, \frac{L^2}{2}, \frac{L^2}{2}, \lambda\right),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which is a standard way of converting Beta into Gaussian distributions.

The two-dimensional Selberg integral is a sum of Beta functions. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda_1)\Gamma(1+\lambda_2)\Gamma(1+\lambda_3)\Gamma(1+\lambda_4)}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\Gamma(2+\lambda_3+\lambda_4)} \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{x}}\underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{y}}\, x^{\lambda_1} y^{\lambda_3}(1-x)^{\lambda_2}(1-y)^{\lambda_4},\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{1\le k<l\le N} \left[(x_k - x_l)^2 + (y_k - y_l)^2\right]^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{1\le n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N \le N(N-1)\lambda} c_{n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} x_j^{n_j} y_j^{m_j},\end{aligned}$$

we have the evaluation,

$$\begin{aligned}&S_N(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda) = \sum_{1\le n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N\le N(N-1)\lambda} c_{n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N} \times \\ &\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda_1+n_j)\Gamma(1+\lambda_2)\Gamma(1+\lambda_3+m_j)\Gamma(1+\lambda_4)}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+n_j)\Gamma(2+\lambda_3+\lambda_4+m_j)},\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the coefficients $c_{n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N}$ are unknown.

Lemma 1. *The values of coefficients* $c_{n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N}$ *are independent of order of* $(n_1,m_1),\ldots,(n_N,m_N)$. *For* $0 \le n_1+m_1 \le n_2+m_2 \le \cdots \le n_N+m_N$, *the non-zero* $c_{n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N}$ *occur when* $n_1,m_1,\ldots,n_N,m_N$ *satisfy* $\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_j + m_j = N(N-1)\lambda$ *and the inequalities* $(j-1)\lambda \le n_j + m_j$ *and* $n_j + m_j \le (N+j-2)\lambda$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to Selberg's original proof for the one-dimensional Selberg integral [6]. The integral is symmetric under relabeling $(n_1,m_1),\ldots,(n_N,m_N) \to (n_{p(1)},m_{p(1)}),\ldots,(n_{p(N)},m_{p(N)})$ for some permutation $p(j)$ of the indexes $1,\ldots,N$. The sum, $\sum_{j=1}^{N} n_j + m_j = N(N-1)\lambda$, follows because 10 is a homogeneous polynomial. The remaining two can be found by letting $x_j = y_j$ in 10 and applying the proof for the one-dimensional case [6]. Since the proof is only about $m_j + n_j$ and not x_j and y_j, those proofs apply here. □

Now, consider when we break the 2D Selberg into a sum of products of integrals,

$$S_N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda) = \left(\prod_{1 \le k < l \le N} \sum_{p_{kl}=0}^{\lambda} \right) a_{p,q}(\lambda) s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1 \lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2 \lambda_2, \lambda) t_{q,N}(\mathbf{v}^3 \lambda_3, \mathbf{v}^4 \lambda_4, \lambda), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1 \lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2 \lambda_2, \lambda) \; &= \int_{[0,1]^N} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N} \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{x}}_j x_j^{v_j^1 \lambda_1} (1 - x_j)^{v_j^2 \lambda_2} \right] \times \\ & \prod_{1 \le k < l \le N} (x_k - x_l)^{2p_{kl}}, , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_{q,N}(\mathbf{v}^3 \lambda_3, \mathbf{v}^4 \lambda_4, \lambda) \; &= \int_{[0,1]^N} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N} \underset{\cdot}{\mathrm{y}}_j y_j^{v_j^3 \lambda_3} (1 - x_j)^{v_j^4 \lambda_4} \right] \times \\ & \prod_{1 \le k < l \le N} (y_k - y_l)^{2q_{kl}}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where p_{kl} and q_{kl} are symmetric matrices with $p_{kk} = q_{kk} = 0$ and otherwise $p_{kl} + q_{kl} = \lambda$, $n = \sum_{k<l} p_{kl}$, $N(N-1)\lambda/2 - n = \sum_{k<l} q_{kl}$, $\mathbf{v}^k = (v_1^k, \ldots, v_1^k)$ where the superscript is an index rather than a power, and $v_j^1 = v_j^2 = v_j^3 = v_j^4 = 1$. Also,

$$a_{p,q}(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+p_{kl})\Gamma(1+q_{kl})}.$$

We also have the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1 \lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2 \lambda_2, \lambda) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_N} c_{n_1, \ldots, n_N} \times \\ \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(1 + v_j^1 \lambda_1 + n_j)\Gamma(1 + v_j^2 \lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2 + v_j^1 \lambda_1 + v_j^2 \lambda_2 + n_j)}, , \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

but unlike in 10, here we know that $\sum_j n_j = 2n$. A similar formula holds for $t_{q,N}$. These are essential for the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *For* $0 \le n_1 \le n_2 \le \cdots \le n_N$*, the non-zero* $c_{n_1, m_1, \ldots, n_N, m_N}$ *occur when* $n_1, \ldots, n_N$ *satisfy the inequalities* $n_{\min}(j) \le n_j$ *and* $n_{\max}(j) \ge n_j$*, where* $n_{\min}(j) = \lceil \sum_{k<l\le j} 2p_{kl}/j \rceil$*,* $n_{\max}(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2p_{jk} - \lceil \sum_{k<l\le N+1-j} 2p_{kl}/(N+1-j) \rceil$ *and* $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ *means the smallest integer greater than* $\cdot$*. And a similar lemma involves replacing* n *with* m *and* p *with* q*.*

Proof. Consider $\Delta^{(N)}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=\prod_{1\le k<l\le N}(x_k-x_l)^{2p_{kl}}$, which is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\sum_{1\le k<l\le N}2p_{kl}$. Based on the ordering, $n_N\ \ge\ \lceil\sum_{1\le k<l\le N}2p_{kl}/N\rceil$. Since $\Delta^{(N)}$ is divisible by $\Delta^{(j)}$ for each $j=1,\ldots,N-1$, $n_j\ \ge\ \lceil\sum_{1\le k<l\le j}2p_{kl}/j\rceil$. Now, consider that $(x_k-x_l)^{2p_{kl}}=x_k^{2p_{kl}}x_l^{2p_{kl}}(1/x_k-1/x_l)^{2p_{kl}}$, hence $\Delta^{(N)}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}x_j^{\sum_{i=1}^{N}2p_{ij}}\Delta^{(N)}(1/x_1,\ldots,1/x_N)$. The allowed powers on the r.h.s., say, $0\le n'_1\le n'_2\le\cdots\le n'_N$ are given by the powers on the l.h.s. as $n'_j=\sum_{i=1}^{N}2p_{ij}-n_{N+j-1}$ and must satisfy $n'_j\ge\lceil\sum_{k<l\le j}2p_{kl}/j\rceil$. □

Lemma 3. *The expression 10 can be evaluated as a function of λ_j to give,*

$$s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1,\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2,\lambda)t_{q,N}(\mathbf{v}^3\lambda_3,\mathbf{v}^4\lambda_4,\lambda)=c_{p,N}c_{q,N}(\lambda)\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^1\lambda_1+n_{\min}(j))\Gamma(1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\min}(j))}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\max}(j))}\times$$
$$\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^3\lambda_3+m_{\min}(j))\Gamma(1+v_j^4\lambda_4+m_{\min}(j))}{\Gamma(2+v_j^3\lambda_3+v_j^4\lambda_4+m_{\max}(j))} \tag{15}$$

Proof. From the inequalities for n_j,

$$\frac{\Gamma(v_j^1\lambda_1+1+n_j)}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_j)}=$$
$$\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^1\lambda_1+n_{\min}(j))}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\max}(j))}q_{n_j}(v_j^1\lambda_1,v_j^2\lambda_2) \tag{16}$$

where $q_{n_j}(v_j^1\lambda_1,v_j^2\lambda_2)$ is a polynomial of degree $n_{\max}(j)-n_j$ in λ_2. Thus,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda_1+n_j)\Gamma(1+\lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+n_j)}$$
$$=\ Q'(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1,\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^1\lambda_1+n_{\min}(j))\Gamma(1+v_j^2\lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\max}(j))}$$

where the polynomial $Q'(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1,\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)$ has degree

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}n_{\max}(j)-n_j.$$

in λ_2.

The expression 14 is a linear combination of terms of this form. Therefore,

$$s_{p,N}=Q(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1,\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^1\lambda_1+n_{\min}(j))\Gamma(1+v_j^2\lambda_2)}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\max}(j))}$$
$$=\frac{Q'(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1,\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)}{R(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(1+v_j^1\lambda_1+n_{\min}(j))\Gamma(1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\min}(j))}{\Gamma(2+v_j^1\lambda_1+v_j^2\lambda_2+n_{\max}(j))}$$

where $Q'(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\sum_{j=1}^N n_{\max}(j) - n_j$ and

$$R(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + v_j^2\lambda_2 + n_{\min}(j))}{\Gamma(1 + v_j^2\lambda_2)}$$

is a polynomial of degree $\sum_j n_{\min}(j)$ which, by Lemma 2 is $\sum_j n_{\max}(j) - n_j$. Since $s_{p,N}$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1$ and $\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2$ (by a change of variables $x_j \to 1 - x_j$),

$$\frac{Q(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)}{R(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)} = \frac{Q(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2, \mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1)}{R(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1)}.$$

The right hand side is a polynomial in λ_2 hence $Q(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)$ is divisible by $R(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)$, but the maximum degree of the two is the same. Therefore, $\frac{Q(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)}{R(\mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2)}$ must be independent of λ_2. By symmetry it is also independent of λ_1 and can only depend on N and λ [6]. An identical proof can be done for $t_{q,N}$ using λ_3 and λ_4. Note that, because these two proofs can be done separately, the relabeling needed for the initial step can be done first for $s_{p,N}$ and next for $t_{q,N}$ since the integrals are separable. □

Lemma 4. *Let* $p_j = \sum_{k=1}^N p_{jk}$ *and* $q_j = \sum_{k=1}^N q_{jk}$. *The limiting case*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\lambda_1 \to -1^+} (1 + \lambda_1) s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2, \lambda) \\ &= N s_{p,N}((2p_{N1} - 1, \ldots, 2p_{N,N-1} - 1), \lambda_2, \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

if $p_{N1} \neq 0$. *If* $p_{N1}, \ldots, p_{Nj} = 0$ *and* $p_{12}, \ldots, p_{1j} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\lambda_1 \to -1^+} (1 + \lambda_1)^{j+1} s_{p,N}(\mathbf{v}^1\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}^2\lambda_2, \lambda) \\ &= (N - j) s_{p,N}((2(p_{N,j+1} + \sum_{l=1}^j p_{j+1,l}) - 1, \ldots, 2(p_{N,N-1} + \sum_{l=1}^j p_{N-1,l}) - 1), \lambda_2, \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and likewise for $t_{q,N}$ *replacing* p *with* q.

Proof. Since the integral is symmetrical in $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_N, y_N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_p(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = N! \int_0^1 dx_N \int_{x_N}^1 dx_{N-1} \cdots \int_{x_2}^1 dx_1 \times \\ \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{\lambda_1} (1 - x_l)^{\lambda_2} \prod_{j<k} (x_j - x_k)^{2p_{jk}}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The stated result follows from the general principle,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to -1^+} (1 + \alpha) \int_0^1 dr\, r^\alpha f(r) = f(0).$$

except when $p_{N1}, \ldots, p_{Nj} = 0$ and $p_{kl} = 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, j$ and $l = 2, \ldots, j+1$, in which case the limit blows up, and we use the following formula:

$$s_p(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda) = (N-j)! \int_0^1 dx_N \int_0^1 dx_1 \cdots \int_0^1 dx_j \int_{x_N}^1 dx_{N-1} \cdots \int_{x_{j+2}}^1 dx_{j+1} \times \prod_{l=1}^{N} x_l^{\lambda_1} (1-x_l)^{\lambda_2} \prod_{j<k} (x_j - x_k)^{2p_{jk}}. \tag{20}$$

The limit is identical for t_q replacing p with q. $\square$

Combining Lemmas 3 and 4 gives the recurrence relations in 6. Note that the formula is finite when any of the arguments to the Γ functions is 0. So, the full formula is,

$$S_N = \left(\prod_{1 \le k < l \le N} \sum_{p_{kl}=0}^{\lambda} \right) \left\{ c_{p,N} c_{q,N} \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma(1+p_{ij})\Gamma(1+q_{ij})} \right\} \times \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda_1+\sum_{k<l\le j} 2p_{kl}/j)\Gamma(1+\lambda_2+\sum_{k<l\le j} 2p_{kl}/j)}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+2p_j-\sum_{k<l\le N+1-j} 2p_{kl}/(N+1-j))} \times \frac{\Gamma(1+\lambda_3+\sum_{k<l\le j} 2q_{kl}/j)\Gamma(1+\lambda_4+\sum_{k<l\le j} 2q_{kl}/j)}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_3+\lambda_4+2q_j-\sum_{k<l\le N+1-j} 2q_{kl}/(N+1-j))}, \tag{21}$$

where $q_{kl} = \lambda - p_{kl}$. This formula, while complex, is computable in polynomial time. The sum is $N(N-1)\lambda/2$ terms and there are N^2 products in each term. Therefore, it is computable in $O(N^4)$ time.

Substituting this into the equation in Lemma 3 gives the evaluation 4 of the two-dimensional Selberg integral for all non-negative integers λ. For $\beta = 2$ this formula gives Ginibre's result [8], which, until now, was the only successful integration of this integral for all N.

Finally, the 2D, one component plasma's partition function (4) is easily found using Stirling's formula $\Gamma(1+n) \sim \sqrt{2\pi n}(n/e)^n$.

The main results of this investigation are the exact integration of the 2d one-component plasma for even β, which has been an open problem (in the general form) since its 1965. It is likely that this result may apply to a number of other results related to non-Hermitian random matrices as well as vortex statistics and plasmas (such as the guiding center plasma) such as are mentioned in the introduction. Perhaps most surprising about the 2D Selberg integral is that the formula is essentially a sum of products of 1D Selberg-style integrals (albeit more general). This raises the question as to how many other statistical results applied to one-dimensional ensembles could also be applied to 2d ensembles or even 3d ensembles (e.g., quaternion matrices). It may be that a number of such results in interacting particle theory could be found using the Selberg integral or other solved 1d integrals for large dimensional ensembles.

[1] O. Bohigas. *Random matrix theories and chaotic dynamics.* Elsevier Science, Les Houches, 1991.

[2] A. J. Chorin. *Vorticity and Turbulence.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[3] M. T. DiBattista and A. Majda. Equilibrium statistical predictions for baroclinic vortices: The role of angular momentum. *Th. and Comp. Fluid Dyn.*, 14:293, 2001.

[4] Joshua Feinberg and A. Zee. Non-hermitian random matrix theory: Method of hermitian reduction. *Nuclear Physics B*, 504(3):579 – 608, 1997. ISSN 0550-3213. doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00502-6. URL `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0550321397005026`.

[5] Joshua Feinberg and A. Zee. Non-hermitian localization and delocalization. *Phys. Rev. E*, 59:6433–6443, Jun 1999. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.59.6433. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.6433`.

[6] P. J. Forrester. *Log-gases and random matrices*, chapter Chap. 4. Princeton UP, Princeton, NJ, 2010.

[7] P. J. Forrester and S. O. Warnaar. The importance of the selberg integral. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 45:489–534, 2008.

[8] J. Ginibre. Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. *J. Math. Phys.*, 6(3):440–449, 1965.

[9] Thomas Guhr, Axel Müller-Groeling, and Hans A. Weidenmüller. Random-matrix theories in quantum physics: common concepts. *Physics Reports*, 299(4-6):189 – 425, 1998. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/S0370-1573(97)00088-4. URL `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157397000884`.

[10] Naomichi Hatano and David R. Nelson. Localization transitions in non-hermitian quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77:570–573, Jul 1996. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.570. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.570`.

[11] Naomichi Hatano and David R. Nelson. Non-hermitian delocalization and eigenfunctions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 58:8384–8390, Oct 1998. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.58.8384. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.8384`.

[12] D. E. Holz, H. Orland, and A. Zee. On the remarkable spectrum of a non-hermitian random matrix model. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen*, 36:3385–3400, 2003.

[13] B. Jancovici. Exact results for the two-dimensional, one-component plasma. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 46:386–388, 1981.

[14] Romuald A. Janik, Maciej A. Nowak, Gabor Papp, Jochen Wambach, and Ismail Zahed. Non-hermitean random matrix models : a free random variable approach. *PHYS.REV.E*, 55:4100, 1997. URL `http://www.citebase.org/abstract?id=oai:arXiv.org:hep-ph/9609491`.

[15] C. C. Lim and S. M. Assad. Self-containment radius for rotating planar flows, single-signed vortex gas and electron plasma. *R & C Dynamics*, 10:240–54, 2005.

[16] M. L. Mehta. *Random Matrices*. Academic Press, 3rd edition, 2004.

[17] David R. Nelson and Nadav M. Shnerb. Non-hermitian localization and population biology. *Phys. Rev. E*, 58:1383–1403, Aug 1998. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.58.1383. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.1383`.

[18] P. K. Newton. *The N-vortex problem*, volume 145 of *Appl. Math. Sci.* Springer, New York, 2001.

[19] M. A. Stephanov. Random matrix model of qcd at finite density and the nature of the quenched limit. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 76:4472–4475, Jun 1996. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4472. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4472`.

[20] L Šamaj. The statistical mechanics of the classical two-dimensional coulomb gas is exactly solved. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 36(22):5913, 2003.

[21] L. Šamaj. Is the one-component two-dimensional plasma exactly solvable? *J. Stat. Phys.*, 117:131–158, 2004.

[22] L. Šamaj, J. K. Percus, and M. Kolesík. Two-dimensional one-component plasma at coupling γ=4: Numerical study of pair correlations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 49:5623–5627, Jun 1994. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.49.5623. URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.49.5623`.